Life and contexts

The early years, 1949–73

Martin Amis was born on 25 August 1949. Looking back he reflects, “four days later, the Russians successfully tested their first atom bomb, [. . .] the world had taken a turn for the worse” (EM 1). As he grew up, Amis came to see himself as representative of a generation that had inherited a world radically different from that in which his father, Kingsley Amis, had lived, one threatened by nuclear annihilation. He concluded that his father’s generation “got it hugely wrong,” and that, in consequence, his own generation faced a drastically deteriorated stage of modernity, “trapped in the great mistake” (EM 13). Frequently Amis depicts his father’s generation as the last inhabitants of an Edenic state that they had been responsible for losing: “Post-1945 life is completely different from everything that came before it. We are like no other people in history” (McGrath 1987: 194). So much of Martin Amis’s outlook and work has been formed in reaction to the beliefs and writing of his father, Kingsley (see Criticism, p. 86). Martin has called his relationship to his father “a very enjoyable adversarial” one, “argumentative, but close” (Ross 1987: 24). When he came to write his memoir, Experience, as he was turning fifty, he significantly chose to organize the material of his own life in parallel to that of his father. The “Envoy” concludes: “I am you and you are me” (E 364). But the ways in which he fights off his father as much as he identifies with him are complex and contribute to the originality of the son’s fictional writing. A month after Martin’s birth Kingsley left Oxford with a BA to take up a position as an assistant lecturer in English at University College, Swansea, South Wales, “Swansea being the last unfilled English post of that year,” according to Kingsley (Amis, K. 1991: 120). Apart from a year in the USA (1958–9), the family was to live in Swansea until 1961, when Martin turned twelve.

Martin was the second son of Kingsley Amis and Hilary Bardwell. Whereas Kingsley’s father was lower middle class, a mustard manufacturer’s clerk, his mother’s parents were upper middle class, her father being a civil servant and her mother the daughter of a successful Victorian merchant (E 130). In 1946, while an undergraduate, Kingsley had met Hilary, a model, at the Ruskin School of Art. In 1948, she became pregnant, they married, and she gave birth to Philip, Martin’s older brother, who was to grow up to become a graphic designer. After moving to Swansea, the family was rescued from living in a series of cramped
flats (in one of which Martin slept in a drawer) when Hilary turned twenty-one in 1950 and inherited from her family £5,000 with half of which they bought their first terraced house. In 1954, the year in which their last child, Sally, was born, Kingsley published *Lucky Jim*, a novel that became a bestseller and was turned into a film in 1957. He won the Somerset Maugham Award for it, which required him to spend three months abroad. After much grumbling, he chose to spend the time with his family in Portugal. A comic satire on contemporary campus life in England, the novel propelled Kingsley into the position of a leading spokesman for a new postwar generation of disgruntled writers whom the media dubbed the Angry Young Men (others included John Osborne, Alan Sillitoe, John Braine, and John Wain). Kingsley stood for a rejection of the experimental tradition of modernism in favor of social realism and transparency. Like Charles Lumley, the rebel protagonist of John Wain’s *Hurry on Down* (1954), Kingsley’s Jim Dixon attacks society not in order to bring it down but in order to obtain a profitable foothold in it. Once Kingsley had done likewise, he exchanged his early left-wing views for a Blimpish reactionary stand in which he was to be joined by his closest friend, Philip Larkin, whom he had met at Oxford and who frequently visited Kingsley in Swansea and acted as Philip’s godfather.

When asked in midlife about his childhood, Martin Amis exclaimed, “Childhood? What childhood?” He explained: “When Nabokov said a writer's childhood was his treasure chest, I thought ‘Christ, what do I do? I haven’t got one’” (Stout 1990: 34). There is little recollection of much of his childhood in *Experience*. Is this because it was so ordinary, which may be true of his years at Swansea up to the age of twelve? Or is it because once he left Swansea he went to some dozen different schools, which offered little narrative continuity? Amis has commented how, with each new school, “having to [re]make your personality […] makes you conscious of how you’re going down,” which may explain his own later self-conscious approach to writing fiction (Ross 1987: 23). Going to so many schools also made him “quite expert at self-preservation,” he has said, which he would need when faced with negative reactions to his work from his father and the press (Bigsby 1992: 169). His peripatetic schooling began when his father was invited to teach creative writing at Princeton for a year (1958–9) when Martin was ten. He recalls: “Soon I had long trousers, a crew cut, and a bike with fat whitewalls and an electric horn” (*MI* ix). The year in New Jersey, where he attended the Valley Road School, made Martin “fully Americanised, for now” (*E* 139). “America excited and frightened me,” he recalled in later life, “and has continued to do so” (*MI* ix). His connection to America was destined to resume in his thirties and to play an important role in his development as a novelist with international appeal.

In 1961, Kingsley moved the family to Cambridge where he obtained a fellowship at Peterhouse. Looking back on his years at Swansea, Amis declared that life there was squalid and that he found the Welsh bitter and cruel (Michener 1986: 142). During his two years in Cambridge, where he went to Cambridgeshire High School for Boys, Martin writes that he was “overweight and undersized”—“averagely unhappy for my age” (*E* 102–3). Finding the fellowship too demanding on his writing time, Kingsley resigned in 1963 and took a year’s rental on a house in Soller, Majorca, where the family met Robert Graves. But in October of the previous year Kingsley had met Elizabeth Jane Howard (b. 1923), an established
novelist, at the Cheltenham Literary Festival, and in summer 1963 he left openly
with her for a holiday together. Martin’s mother took all three children to the
rented villa in Spain and the marriage was at an end. At the time, Martin remem-
bers experiencing “a terrible numbness and incredulity” (Hubbard 1990: 118).
One possible effect on him was to implant in him what he later recognized as “an
unconscious distrust of love” (E 50). He simultaneously blames the Cuban Missile
Crisis of October 1962 for this effect, asserting that he, like all the “children of the
nuclear age [. . .] were weakened in their capacity to love” (E 138). Both boys
pined for their father. Eventually in November 1963 their mother packed them off
on a plane to London and sent Kingsley a telegram that never arrived warning him
that they were coming. When they turned up at Kingsley’s house at midnight they
were met by their father in pajamas and Jane, as Kingsley called her, in a towel
bathrobe. Both boys were shocked, and, in their ensuing talks with their father,
Philip tearfully called him “a cunt” (E 144–5). Still, Martin quickly grew to like
Jane. During their five-day stay with their father they learned of President
Kennedy’s assassination. Between autumn 1963 and spring 1964, the two boys
attended the International School in Palma, Majorca, “full of glamorous foreign
girls” (Michener 1986: 142). After moving to the Fulham Road in London with
his mother and two siblings and being enrolled in Battersea Grammar School,
Martin was offered a part in the film High Wind in Jamaica by the director
Alexander Mackendrick, a friend of Elizabeth Jane Howard’s. Martin, accompa-
nied by his mother, spent two months in the early summer of 1964 in the West
Indies shooting the film. On returning to his tough Battersea grammar school in
the autumn, he was immediately expelled for chronic truancy. During this period,
Martin went through a “mod” phase (“too many scooter crashes”) and a hippie
phase (“flowered shirt, velvet suit, far more relaxing”). Looked at with hindsight,
“it was all a pose,” he reflected (Stout 1990: 34). In Experience he calls his earlier
teenage self “Osric” after the highly pretentious courtier whom Hamlet calls a
“water-fly” in Shakespeare’s play (see Works, p. 74).

So, Martin’s mother enrolled him in a crammer (tutoring school) in Notting
Hill, West London, the first of many over the next three years. Instead of study-
ing, he spent his time reading comics, “going to betting shops, smoking dope,
and trolling up and down the Kings Road, looking for girls” (Michener 1986:
142). With his earnings from his part in the film he got himself a drumset and a
guitar and formed various rock groups that played the youth-club circuit around
the Fulham Road. The headmaster of one of the cramners he attended declared
that Martin was “unusually unpromising” (Michener 1986: 140). By the time he
was seventeen he had managed to pass only three O-Level examinations, one a
year. He did manage to lose his virginity at the age of fifteen. When he was
sixteen his father bought him and his brother a gross (144) of condoms—“it
represented the all-clear,” Amis explains in his memoir (E 168). In 1967, he had
a six-month affair with a beautiful Jewish teenager a year older than he was. He
calls her his “first love” (E 264) and would use her as a model for Rachel, the
heroine of his first novel. This is the first of numerous love affairs lasting a matter
of months. He was to remain a bachelor for another seventeen years. This could
be a result of the model his father provided him, with his reckless philandering
(which, Martin writes, “often approached the psychotic” [E 81]), and of the
trauma Martin experienced when his parents suddenly separated.
When he failed his A-Level exams, which he took in the early summer of 1965, he and Philip moved into the household his father and Elizabeth Jane Howard had set up in Maida Vale (they were married that June), while his mother would remarry an academic and take Sally with her to Ann Arbor, Michigan, where her new husband was offered a teaching position. Martin and Philip continued to lead a life of truancy, drinking, girls, and dope. The next year, when Kingsley and Jane found drugs in Philip’s clothes drawer and tried to ground him, he left home permanently. Martin, a year younger, was not so rebellious. Maybe this was because his stepmother took him in hand. At this time, his reading consisted almost entirely of comics and science fiction. When she asked him what he wanted to be, to her astonishment, Martin answered, “Be a writer.” “But you never read anything,” she said. When Martin asked her to give him a book to read, she handed him Jane Austen’s *Pride and Prejudice* and refused to tell him how it ended (Howard 2003: 358). That’s when he got hooked, and she proceeded to feed him books by Evelyn Waugh, Anthony Powell, and Angus Wilson. One could speculate that Martin’s acquisition of a well-known novelist as his stepmother allowed him to stop rebelling against the world of literature, which, until then, he had associated primarily with his father. In the autumn of 1967, Jane found a boarding crammer called Sussex Tutors in Brighton which Martin agreed to attend and where he was coached intensively to take the O- and A-Level exams needed to qualify for Oxford University’s Entrance Paper. He passed all of them, being the only one at the crammer to obtain an A in English (see Works, p. 35). During his time in Brighton, he acquired a taste for nineteenth-century literature, not just George Eliot and Dickens but also Tolstoy (“bloody good”) and Henry James (“Eloquent + rather funny + polished” [E 109]). On securing a place at Oxford, he wrote to his stepmother at the beginning of 1968 attributing his success entirely to her influence (E 150). Before starting his university life, he worked in his step-uncle’s record shop in Rickmansworth and went with his closest boyhood friend, Rob, to Spain, where they ran out of money and then typically waited to be bailed out by their parents. His hippie lifestyle was representative, largely a middle-class phenomenon and rarely self-supporting.

This was the 1960s, the decade of the Beatles, rock, and political activism including the *événements* of May 1968. Amis represents himself as partly the product of this era:

"In 1968 the world seemed to go further left than it had ever gone before and would ever go again. But this left was the New Left: it represented, or turned out to represent, revolution as play [. . .] There were demonstrations, riots, torchings, street battles in England, Germany, Italy, Japan and the USA. And remember the Paris of 1968: barricades, street theater, youth-worship [. . .] The death throes of the New Left took the form of vanguard terrorism (the Red Brigades, the Baader-Meinhof gang, the Weathermen). And its afterlife is anarchistic, opposing itself to the latest mutation of capital: after imperialism, after fascism, it now faces globalization." (KD 11–12)
Amis’s account of his later teens in *Experience* show him as an unconscious participant in both the popular culture of the time and, to a lesser extent, the politics of his generation, which set him in conflict with his increasingly reactionary father who had become a vocal defender of the Vietnam War (1964–73). Martin claims that, after he had detached himself from Kingsley’s pro-war stance, he and his father argued, often bitterly, about Vietnam for thirty years *(KD 12–13)*. Kingsley and Philip Larkin had been inexorably egging each other on to adopt increasingly reactionary right-wing views over the decade. A representative letter from Larkin to Kingsley on April 8, 1969 dismisses Harold Wilson’s Labour government: “Fuck the whole lot of them, I say, the decimal-loving, nigger-mad, army-cutting, abortion-promoting, murderer-pardoning, daylight-hating ponces, to hell with them” (quoted in Motion 1993: 409). Subsequently, Martin has asserted, “There are many aspects of the left that I find unappealing, but what I am never going to be is right-wing in my heart” *(Morrison 1990: 102)*. In his first term at Oxford he joined a demonstration against the Russian invasion of Czechoslovakia, affirming his distance from the Communist Party line. Although he says that during this period of his life he was politically “quietist and unaligned” *(KD 22)*, his father always considered his son’s political views “a lot of dangerous howling nonsense” *(Stout 1990: 35)*.

In the autumn of 1968, Amis went up to Exeter College, Oxford University on an exhibition (financial scholarship). Almost a decade later, he contributed an essay to a book of recollections titled *My Oxford*. In it he claims to have been torn between two antithetical groupings of undergraduates: “‘gnome’ people” who studied all the time and never left college, and “the ‘cool’ people [. . .] the aloof, slightly moneyed, London-based, car-driving, party-throwing [. . .] elite” *(Amis 1977: 207)*. He spent the first term in gnome-like isolation reading English classics avidly and preparing for his prelims (exams held at the end of the first year), concentrating on Latin, Old English, and Milton. His tutor was Jonathan Wordsworth whom Amis appears to have liked and learnt from. According to John Walsh, another student of Wordsworth, their tutor “said literary criticism started in establishing whether a piece of writing moved you or didn’t, and writing about your personal response” *(Walsh 2006: 7)*. Amis was to use him as the model for Charles Knowd, the English tutor in his first novel, *The Rachel Papers*, who at the end of the book sees right through the protagonist’s literary pretensions (see Works and Criticism, pp. 37, 124–5). In his second term he did manage to acquire a girlfriend for a couple of months and passed the prelims. In his second year he began a longer affair with Alexandra Wells (“Gully”), a history fresher whose stepfather was A. J. Ayer, and led more of the life of the “cool” set of students, “[p]unting drunkenly up the Isis [. . .] stealing the odd drug from the trusting, ponderous pushers at Hertford, rather shining in classes with my derivative and journalistic essays” *(Amis 1977: 212)*. He moved out of college, sharing a cottage with Alexandra and three others whose bizarre behavior would provide him with some of the material for his second novel, *Dead Babies*(E 270–2) (see Works, p. 39–40).1

1 In *Experience* Amis provisionally dates the undated letter referring to this period “[Autumn 1971]”. But by then he had left Oxford, while in the letter he refers to it being “Finals Year,” that is, 1970–1, which would place this letter in Autumn 1970.
But in his third year (1970–1) he reverted to the life of a gnome to prepare for finals. In the process, he left Alexandra and the cottage they shared to live once again in college. Although he was to continue the relationship with her on and off for several years, he showed a characteristic ambivalence about being “tied down” to her and “wasting the best years of [his] life” (E 232). Alexandra said that while he was “very funny, very intense, romantic,” the problem was that any minute he would take off, which meant that “while you’re with him, you’re obsessed” (Shnayerson 1995: 160). His attendance at a series of seminars given by Northrop Frye began his separation from F. R. Leavis’s moralistic approach to literature. Frye’s definition of literature as “a disinterested use of words” (E 30) made a big impact on Amis (Wachtel 1996: 53) (see Criticism, p. 138). In summer 1971 came finals: “The nine three-hour papers came in a heroic blur. I got a formal first, coming in third in that year” (Amis 1977: 213). A formal first is the highest bachelor’s degree awarded.

In the autumn of 1971, Amis first planned on staying at Oxford to write a postgraduate thesis on Shakespeare. But when Jonathan Wordsworth, his tutor, challenged him to take a year off to write a novel, he accepted it and left both Oxford and the parental home. He notes in Experience that he was now addressing letters home exclusively to Jane, his father having opted out of this parental chore. His comment (“So Dad has dropped out, rather hurtfully in retrospect, now that I know how many letters he wrote to everyone else” [E 250]) indicates the extent to which he repressed his sense of rejection at the time. In fact, Kingsley was opting out of all things to do with running the house, parenting his children, or his shared social life with Jane—one cause of her eventual break with him. But his son proved more forgiving. The absent or indifferent parent tends to become by default unusually powerful. Such a figure can leave the child seeking throughout its life to win that parent’s love and approval. Martin was no exception. As he told one interviewer, “I suppose we all are trying to please our fathers” (Trueheart 1991: B2). Experience, his memoir, is as much about his father as it is about himself: “it feels like a duty to describe our case,” he explains as part justification for the book (E 7). Koba the Dread ends with an “Afterword: Letter to My Father’s Ghost.” In it, he admits that six years after his father’s death he still spends a lot of time in his father’s mental company (KD 271). This difficult, compelling relationship with his father becomes even more complex once the son has established himself as a novelist of equal or greater stature to that of his father. Near the end of Experience he has a dream a year after his father’s death in which his father appears to him not as a shade but as a “messenger from my own unconscious, naturally.” “But,” he continues, “that’s all right. Because my mind is his mind and the other way round” (E 363). Martin’s father alarmingly appears to have entered and become a part of his unconscious.

Amis worked for four months in an art gallery in Mayfair, in the heart of London’s West End, and for another three weeks for a Thompson advertising agency that “seemed to be entirely peopled by blocked dramatists, likeably shambling poets, and one-off novelists” (E 34n). He happily left the ad agency when Terence Kilmartin, the Literary Editor of the Observer, hired him as an untried book reviewer. Kilmartin was impressed with the businesslike letter of application that Martin sent him in which he abstained from presuming on Kilmartin’s long acquaintance with Kingsley. When Kilmartin showed Martin’s first review
around, “[p]eople thought it was the work of someone who’d been reviewing for twenty years” (Michener 1986: 140). From November 1971, Amis reviewed for the Observer works of literary criticism and novels by such authors as William Burroughs, C. P. Snow and Alan Sillitoe. In The War Against Cliché Amis writes that “[e]njoying being insulting is a youthful corruption of power” for which he has subsequently lost his taste (WAC xiv). In these early years, he will dismiss a novel by Iris Murdoch in a scathing sentence: “On the face of it Miss Murdoch seems to be doing little more than guiding the pens of a few Texan thesis-writers” (WAC 86). J. G. Ballard’s Crash is even more economically put down in a brief phrase: “an exercise in vicious whimsy,” an opinion he later revised (WAC 97).

The reviews of his apprentice period already show the sophisticated wit and linguistic facility that characterize his later writing. For instance, he is unsparing in his treatment of the sixty-seven-year-old C. P. Snow’s attempt to portray the younger dissident generation in The Malcontents (1972):

During a party in which LSD is being doled out, one of the boys, Bernard, wanders out of a fifth-floor window. The protagonists spend a lot of time musing about whether someone might have spiked his beer with acid (thus perhaps giving Bernard the impression that he could fly), but finally dismiss the idea as too fantastic to be true. Unless they had spent their university lives entirely behind drawn blinds they’d have dismissed it instantly as far, far too corny to be true. If the publicity were anything to go by, you would barely be able to step into the street nowadays without seeing some drug-crazed youngster being hosed off the pavement. (WAC 130)

Here Amis sweeps aside as archaic the older generation of writers, while already displaying his comic penchant for verbal excess that marks his difference from his predecessors. Style is the key to the judgments he makes. After expressing grave doubts about the moral tenor of Angus Wilson’s As If By Magic, Amis confirms his feeling that the novel is a failure by pillorying what he calls “the scruffiness of much of the writing”: “Americans saying ‘Noo York’ and ‘anyways’, hippies using ‘like’ as if they were rustics, the word ‘delicious’ appearing seven times in as many pages, the whole book riddled with repetitions, unintentional rhymes, jangles, even solecisms” (WAC 75). For Amis, style is inseparable from what it conveys (see Works and Criticism, pp. 82, 147).

His contributions to the Observer included twelve reviews of science fiction between April 1972 and May 1974 under the pseudonym of “Henry Tilney” (a character in Jane Austen’s Northanger Abbey). This use of pseudonym suggests that from the start of his literary career he was shaping his public persona. It was not until August 1974 that he felt sufficiently confident to allow his own name to be used for a review of science fiction (see Works and Criticism, pp. 67, 134). By the summer of 1972, Amis was working full-time as a trainee editorial assistant with the Times Literary Supplement (TLS), the most prestigious British publication in the field of quality book reviewing. It still published only unsigned reviews (until 1974 and the arrival of John Gross as its new editor). In The War
Against Cliché Amis confesses to having reviewed that year a book, Coleridge’s Verse: A Selection, edited by William Empson (one of his heroes) and David Pirie, which he read, then sold, and then reviewed. This led him to criticize the editors for omitting the prose gloss from The Ancient Mariner when in fact it had been included as an appendix (see Criticism, p. 95). Protected by the anonymity of TLS reviewers at that time, Amis was nevertheless compelled to issue an embarrassed apology when Empson wrote a letter of protest. Nevertheless, Amis had the generosity to end his anonymous response with the assertion that “Professor Empson always writes like an angel” (WAC 178–81). This early humiliation perhaps contributed to the highly professional standards Amis has adopted as a reviewer ever since. While still in his twenties Amis rose from trainee status to becoming, under the indulgent editorship of Arthur Crook, the Fiction and Poetry Editor of the TLS, making him from early on a powerful figure in the London literary world. He was already an established reviewer of stature before his first novel was published.

At this point in his life, Amis is on the one hand still the tearaway young man, using dope and speed, drinking with his rebellious friend Rob, and womanizing. He cannot get himself to live with Alexandra although he continues to see her, while dating other women such as Tamasin, the daughter of the ailing poet Cecil Day Lewis, the latter who died of cancer in Kingsley’s house in May 1972. He describes his appearance at this time as “a nightmare of sideburns, flares and dagger-collared flower shirts” (Amis 1992: 18). On the other hand, he has developed the “gnome” side of his personality with which he determinedly forge a career in the literary world. His circle of acquaintances is widening to include many of the up-and-coming literary talents of his generation: Craig Raine, the poet who had tutored him; Julian Barnes who began reviewing for the TLS in 1973; Clive James, whom he met at the Observer where James was television critic from 1972; and Christopher Hitchens (“the Hitch”), who was to become his lifelong friend, whom he first met in 1973.

He had set his mind on a career as a novelist earlier. While a student at Oxford he had begun trying out his “first paragraphs of fiction (scenes, descriptions)” (E 240n). As soon as he left Oxford, he began work on the initial draft of his first novel, The Rachel Papers, which he wrote before and after work (even at work occasionally) and during weekends. He based the book on his year spent at crammers in order to get admitted to Oxford. Within a year, the first draft was done. He spent his summer holidays in 1972 rewriting most of it, completing the typescript by November. Near the end of the rewriting period he had a conversation with his father about literary style, in the course of which Kingsley gave him the only literary advice he would offer. In effect, Kingsley insisted that a good writer should not repeat within a short space prefixes or suffixes such as -ing, -ics, -tions and the like. On going back over the typescript, Martin found to his dismay what he called “doggerel”—“It’s all ‘the cook took a look at the book,’” he told his father—and revised accordingly before sending it to the publisher (E 22–3) (see Criticism, p. 87). He has said that this novel was the only one that he significantly rewrote on the advice of his female editor at Jonathan Cape. The editor pointed out a formal inconsistency in the typewritten second draft: some chapters failed to return the narrator to the eve of his twentieth birthday. By the time he rewrote this aspect of the novel (1973), he was already well into writing the first draft of
his second novel, Dead Babies (Reynolds and Noakes 2003: 12–13). Amis used not just the same publisher (Jonathan Cape) as his father but also his father’s agent, Pat Kavanagh (who was to marry Julian Barnes). Was the speedy acceptance of his first novel an instance of nepotism as some of the British press were to charge? Amis’s response has always been the same: “Any London house would have published my novel out of vulgar curiosity” (E 25n). If any nepotism was involved, it was not activated by his indifferent father but by the publishing industry’s desire for instant name recognition. Some of the reviewers felt that it must have been particularly difficult for Amis to emerge from behind his father, but Martin claims that on the contrary, “his shadow served as a kind of protection” (E 35).

The Rachel Papers was published on 15 November 1973 (when Amis was twenty-four) in a very small print run (see Works, p. 35). Given a minute advance, Amis threw his own launch party at the expensive maisonette he was temporarily sharing with Rob and his girlfriend. His father was present to celebrate his son’s declaration of fictional independence. The Rachel Papers both resembled Kingsley’s first novel, Lucky Jim, and offered a stark contrast to it (see Criticism, p. 87–8). Like Lucky Jim, it was semi-autobiographical, about a young man’s coming of age. Yet, it clearly announced its generational difference in its metafictional approach to somewhat similar subject matter. Reviewers were quick to make the comparison. After opening his review for the TLS with “Ah, Lucky Jim thirty years on, you’re meant to feel when you start to read,” Blake Morrison goes on to suggest that “only a really clever and obnoxious author would do as Martin Amis does and exorcize it by imitation” (Morrison 1973: 1389). When the novel was published in the USA in April of the following year, American reviewers followed suit. Thus, L. E. Sissman wrote in the New Yorker that the novel showed Martin “extending his father’s mastery of the comic novel for a second generation” while insisting that the son was “quite his own man” (Sissman 1974: 185) (see Criticism, p. 95).

One way of understanding Amis’s fictional oeuvre is to view it as the ambiguous response to his father’s work to which he is equally indebted and against which he strongly reacts. Lucky Jim is a novel of social and especially cultural protest; the pseudo-estheticism of provincial university culture is seen as the enemy of real life to which Jim escapes at the end of the book. The Rachel Papers also satirizes contemporary literary/esthetic pretensions, but these belong not to the establishment but to the book’s protagonist. Amis has said that Charles, its protagonist, like a literary critic, is “someone who tries to turn literature to his own advantage” (Haffenden 1985: 10). The irony is not directed at the pretensions of a stratum of society, as it is in Lucky Jim; it is used at the narrator/protagonist’s expense. Amis was fond of quoting Nabokov’s edict that nowadays “you don’t punish villains, [. . .] you show them as ridiculous” (McGrath 1987: 191). Kingsley totally rejected the ironic distance that Nabokov cultivated in his fiction, and Martin’s use of it was one of the ways in which he distanced his coming-of-age novel from that of his father. So, as James Diedrick points out, The Rachel Papers is not just a coming-of-age novel, nor is it simply a parody of that genre; it is also a parody of a specific instance of that genre, Lucky Jim (Diedrick 2004: 38). As Amis remarks in Experience, “My life looked good on paper where almost all of it was being lived” (E 33) (see Criticism, p. 133).
In 1973, at the age of twenty-four Amis had yet to adopt his own stance on domestic and international politics. Yet, his never-more-than-partial adoption of the hippie outlook of the later 1960s and early 1970s was more than a simple pursuit of the hedonistic life. Youth culture merged with the counterculture of the 1960s, so that innovations in styles and fashions came to be identified with innovations in attitudes represented by such loose organizations in Britain as the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament (CND) (which Amis never joined—his commitment came later) and the New Left. This was the period in which the icons of the counterculture were R. D. Laing, a psychiatrist opposed to traditional psychiatry, and Herbert Marcuse, a radical philosopher who championed a German idealist belief in spiritual freedom. Even rock music, which Amis briefly flirted with, gave voice to a radical break with the postwar ethos. The wide availability of the contraception pill from 1962 onwards caused a major change in sexual attitudes and ideas about the family. If one looks at the range of Amis’s reviews up to 1973, it becomes obvious that his interests spread well beyond those of fiction, poetry, and literary criticism. Three reviews show an early fascination with the subjects of sex, strip clubs, and pornography. The review of The Best of Forum, a collection of the magazine’s articles and letters on sexual behavior, makes fun of its no-nonsense attitude (“If it stirs, the suggestion is, you ought to want to go to bed with it”) and concludes in wider terms: “the liberated society tends towards its own brand of triteness” (WAC 58). There is a review of David Bowie’s “Farewell Gig”. He reviews George Steiner’s book about the 1972 Fischer–Spassky World Chess Championship with its Cold War resonances. Even his literary reviews focus more widely on one of Leavis’s pseudo-sociological disquisitions, Nor Shall My Sword: Discourses on Pluralism, Compassion and Social Hope, or on Alan Friedman’s Hermaphrodeity: The Autobiography of a Poet (back to sexual diversity again). A final instance of his eclectic and original taste is his review of the 1971 Guinness Book of Records in which he lightly touches on the enlarged conception of Homo sapiens that each volume gives him. For a young man of twenty-four, Amis is already showing a conviction that literature is part of a wider response to contemporary civilization, which is his true subject. Yet, literature can and should use language creatively, a criterion he will apply to every kind of written material with which he comes into contact.

Emerging from his father’s shadow, 1974–84

It is ironic that Amis’s first novel, like his father’s before him, won him the Somerset Maugham Award for the best literary work by a writer under the age of thirty-five. This is the only literary award Amis was to receive until the next century. The award specified that the recipient should go abroad to spend the money. Unlike his father, who called it “a deportation order” (E 4), Amis happily used it to join his mother in Ronda, southern Spain, where she had settled with her third husband, an impoverished Scottish lord (Kilmarnock), Alistair Boyd, and their two-year-old son, Jaime, Amis’s half brother. His mother was holding things together by running a bar there. She lived in a house next to a palace with the same name, Mondragón. Amis used a room in the Palacio Mondragón to